

## STUDY OF DIVIDEND DECISION OF TATA STEEL IN RELATION TO EPS

**SOHELI GHOSE**

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR,  
FACULTY OF COMMERCE  
J.D.BIRLA INSTITUTE (JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY)

---

### **ABSTRACT**

Dividend Decision one of the important aspects as the primary goal of corporate finance is to maximize shareholder value thereby of corporate finance. Corporate finance deals with long-term and short-term decisions and techniques relating to monetary decisions that business enterprises make and the tools and analysis used to make these decisions, making Dividend Decision one of the important aspects as the primary goal of corporate finance is to maximize shareholder value thereby of corporate finance. In the above context I have studied the relationship between the Dividend per share, EPS, Operating Profit per share, & Free Reserves per share of Tata Steel over a period of 2008 to 2012. The study is based on secondary data collected from the authentic websites of these companies. I have used Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (2 Tailed test, 5% Level of Significance) to see whether there is any significant correlation between the above mentioned variables. It also needs to be seen whether there are any major fluctuations in the above variables over the period of study and to what extent.

**KEYWORDS:** Corporate Finance, Dividend per share, EPS, Free Reserves per share, Operating Profit per share.

---

### **INTRODUCTION:**

One of the major areas of **Corporate Finance** is Capital Investment Decision which looks into long-term corporate finance decisions relating to fixed assets and capital structure. The primary goal of **corporate finance** is to maximize shareholder value. **Corporate finance** is the area of finance dealing with monetary decisions that business enterprises make and the tools and analysis used to make these decisions thus is applicable to financial problems of all kinds of firms. Short-term issues include the management of current assets and current liabilities, inventory control, investments and other short-term financial issues. Long-term issues include new capital purchases and investments. Thus corporate finance is associated with transactions in which capital is raised in order to create, develop, grow or acquire businesses. Decisions are based on several inter-related criteria. (1) Corporate management seeks to maximize the value of the firm by investing in projects which yield a positive net present value when valued using an appropriate discount rate in consideration of risk. (2) These projects must also be financed appropriately. (3) If no such opportunities exist, maximizing shareholder value dictates that management must return excess cash to shareholders (i.e., distribution via dividends). Capital investment decisions thus comprise an investment decision, a financing decision, and a dividend decision.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW:**

In their paper “Financing-Motivated Acquisitions”, *Isil Erel*, *Yeejin Jang*, *Michael S. Weisbach*, evaluated the extent to which acquisitions lower financial constraints on a sample of 5,187 European acquisitions occurring between 2001 and 2008. Each of these targets remains a subsidiary of its new parent, so they observed the target’s financial policies following the acquisition. They examined whether these post-acquisition financial policies reflect improved access to capital. They found that the level of cash target firms hold, the sensitivity of cash to cash flow, and the sensitivity of investment to cash flow all decline significantly, while investment significantly increases following the acquisition. These effects are stronger in deals more likely associated with financing improvements. These findings are consistent with the view that easing financial frictions is a source of value that motivates acquisitions.

*Raghuram Rajan* studied the nature of the firm and its linkage with financing in his paper “The Corporation in Finance “. To produce significant net present value, an entrepreneur has to transform her enterprise into one that is differentiated from the ordinary. To achieve the control that will allow her to execute this strategy, she needs to have substantial ownership, and thus financing. But it is hard to raise finance against differentiated assets. So an entrepreneur has to commit to undertake a second transformation, standardization, that will make the human capital in the firm, including her own, replaceable, so that outside financiers obtain control rights that will allow them to be repaid. He argued that the availability of a vibrant stock market helps the entrepreneur commit to these two transformations in a way that a debt market would not. This helps explain why the nature of firms and the extent of innovation differ so much in different financing environments.

*Aswath Damodaran*, in his paper ‘Dividends and Taxes: An Analysis of the Bush Dividend Tax Plan’ studied the implications of making dividends tax free to investors. Investors and corporate finance practitioners contemplated about this after President Bush proposed it as part of his economic package in early 2003. While much of the debate has concentrated on the consequences of the tax law change for the stock market and budget deficits, the real effects may be in how companies raise money (debt versus equity), how much cash they choose to accumulate and how they return this cash to stockholders (dividends versus stock buybacks). If the tax law changes occur as proposed, it will profoundly alter the terms of the debate and require us to rewrite much that we take for granted in corporate finance today. In particular, companies will become more (if not entirely) equity financed, a decrease in cash balances and a dramatic surge both in the number of companies that pay dividends and in how much they pay. This asymmetric treatment of debt and equity has formed the basis for much of the debate in corporate finance on whether firms should use debt or equity and how much firms should pay out to their stockholders in dividends. In this paper, he considered the implications of the tax law change for both valuation and corporate finance practice.

## **OBJECTIVE and METHODOLOGY of the STUDY:**

In this paper I have analysed the relationship between the Dividend per share, EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share of Tata Steel over a period of 2008 to 2012. The study is based on secondary data collected from the authentic websites of these companies. I have used Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient (2 Tailed test, 5% Level of Significance) to see whether there is any significant correlation between the above mentioned variables. It also needs to be seen whether there are any major fluctuations in the above variables over the

period of study and to what extent. The dividend decision of these companies has also been compared over the period of study.

Null Hypothesis 1: There is no correlation between Dividend per share & EPS of Tata Steel.

Alternate Hypothesis 1: There is correlation between Dividend per share & EPS of Tata Steel.

Null Hypothesis 2: There is no correlation between Dividend per share & Operating Profit per share of Tata Steel.

Alternate Hypothesis 2: There is correlation between Dividend per share & Operating Profit per share of Tata Steel.

Null Hypothesis 3: There is no correlation between Dividend per share & Free Reserves per share of Tata Steel.

Alternate Hypothesis 3: There is correlation between Dividend per share & Free Reserves per share of Tata Steel.

### **INFERENCES and RESULTS:**

a. There is a positive correlation between Dividend per share & EPS (**0.540**). This makes it obvious that the Dividend per share & EPS move in the same direction over the years. This is evident from March 2008 to March 2010, as the Dividend per share decreased, so did the EPS.

b. There is a positive correlation between Dividend per share & Operating Profit per share (**0.661**). This makes it obvious that the Dividend per share & Operating Profit per share move in the same direction over the years. This is evident throughout the study period, as the Dividend per share Profit per share decreased, so did the Operating Profit per share and vice-versa.

c. There is a negative correlation between Dividend per share & Free Reserves per share (**-0.608**). This makes it obvious that the Dividend per share & Free Reserves per share move in the opposite direction over the years. This is evident throughout the study period, as the Dividend per share Profit per share decreased, Free Reserves per share increased and vice-versa.

The Dividend payout has been more or less similar in the study period making it a stable series. There is very little fluctuation in the Dividend per share over the period of study similar to the marginal fluctuations in EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share.

Thus the 3 Null Hypotheses are rejected as there is a correlation between Dividend per share & EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share. Thus EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share affect the Dividend per share whether in a positive or negative way. Thus Tata Steel relates its Dividend decisions with their EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share.

### **CONCLUSION:**

Dividend decision is an important area of any Company especially while taking relevant corporate decisions or formulating critical corporate strategies. Every company puts in a lot of thought behind the same to somehow maximize the returns & also look into share holders'

interest. Through this study one can conclude that there is a correlation between Dividend per share & EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share. Tata Steel looks into this area effectively. Thus Tata Steel relates their Dividend decisions with their EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share. Irrespective of the direction, one cannot rule out the correlation between the above. Thus one can conclude that Dividend decision being one of the important decisions of Corporate Finance is influenced by EPS, Operating Profit per share & Free Reserves per share of Tata Steel.

**TABLES & CHARTS**


**TABLE 1: DETAILS OF TATA STEEL:**

	Dividend Per Share (Rs)	Earnings Per Share (Rs)	Operating Profit Per Share (Rs)	Free Reserves Per Share (Rs)
Mar '12	12	68.95	123.69	504.88
Mar '11	12	71.58	116.45	454.52
Mar '10	8	56.37	100.38	392.98
Mar '09	16	69.7	125.6	309.18
Mar '08	16	63.85	112.85	275.25

**TABLE 2: CORRELATION FOR TATA STEEL:**

	Dividend Per Share
Dividend Per Share	1
Pearson Correlation	
Sig. (2-tailed)	
N	5
Earnings Per Share	.540
Pearson Correlation	
Sig. (2-tailed)	.348
N	5
Operating Profit Per Share (Rs)	.661
Pearson Correlation	
Sig. (2-tailed)	.224
N	5
Free Reserves Per Share (Rs)	-.608
Pearson Correlation	
Sig. (2-tailed)	.276
N	5

**CHART 1: COMPARISON FOR TATA STEEL:**



**REFERENCES**

1. Allen, F., R. Brealey, and S. Myers. 2005. *Principles of Corporate Finance*, 8th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill.
2. Amaro de Matos, J. 2001. *Theoretical Foundations of Corporate Finance*. Princeton University Press.
3. Baker, M., R. Ruback, and J. Wurgler. 2005. Behavioral corporate finance: a survey. In *Handbook of Corporate Finance: Empirical Corporate Finance* (ed. E. Eckbo), Part III, Chapter 5. Elsevier/North-Holland.
4. Graham, J. R. 2003. Taxes and corporate finance: a review. *Review of Financial Studies* 16:1075–1129.
5. Grinblatt, M. and S. Titman. 2002. *Financial Policy and Corporate Strategy*, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill.
6. Irwin. Hart, O. 1995. *Firms, Contracts, and Financial Structure*. Oxford University Press.
7. Modigliani, F. and M. Miller. 1958. The cost of capital, corporate finance, and the theory of investment. *American Economic Review* 48:261–297.
8. Myers, S. 1977. The determinants of corporate borrowing. *Journal of Financial Economics* 5:147–175.
9. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17760>
10. <http://people.stern.nyu.edu/adamodar/pdfiles/papers/divtaxes.pdf>
11. <http://people.stern.nyu.edu/adamodar/pdfiles/papers/fininnov.pdf>