

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE OF SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES IN INDIA-A PERSPECTIVE

DR.CHIKATI SRINU

LECTURER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION &
HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT KAKATIYA UNIVERSITY, WARANGAL.

ABSTRACT

The SEZ's are important in today's context for the third world countries which have been in the race for rapid economic growth. There are many positives which emerge out of establishing an SEZ. The biggest challenges faced by SEZ's in today's scenario are the taking away of agricultural land from the farmers. The farmers are being paid disproportionate money which is not in lieu of the current land prices. Moreover SEZ's are leading to decrease in crop production (arable Land Grabbing!) thus slowing down of agricultural activity in the country. (Though it may help boost it in other ways by increased export of local goods, both processed and non-processed). More and more farmers are moving towards the lucrative manufacturing side in search of greater economic security. Moreover the greatest problem that seems to be emerging out is that arable land is being used for non agricultural purpose which could lead to food crisis and loss of self sustenance in future. For example: Nadigram district of West Bengal. The SEZ's could drastically improve the economic activity in the country, make the country's export competitive and globally noticeable, be net foreign exchange earner and provide immense employment opportunity. But this should not be done at the cost of bringing down the agricultural activities, Land grabbing and real estate mafia should be properly regulated so that the common man is not the net sufferer to get the net foreign exchange earner up and running. This Paper deals Special Economic Zones in Indian Context and its problems comparative with other countries and future challenges and Drawbacks of SEZ's in India and it also deals with How SEZ's should be modelled to Benefit India.

KEY WORDS: Special Economic Zones, India, Model.

INTRODUCTION:

Special economic Zone is a geographical region that has economic laws that are more liberal than a country's typical economic laws. An SEZ is a trade capacity development tool, with the goal to promote rapid economic growth by using tax and business incentives to attract foreign investment and technology. Today, there are approximately 3,000 SEZs operating in 120 countries, which account for over US\$ 600 billion in exports and about 50 million jobs. By offering privileged terms, SEZs attract investment and foreign exchange, spur employment and boost the development of improved technologies and infrastructure. Most developing countries in the world have recognized the importance of facilitating international trade for the sustained growth of the economy and increased contribution to the GDP of the nation. As part of its continuing commitment to

liberalization, the Government of India has also, since the last decade, adopted a multi-pronged approach to promote foreign investment in India. The Government of India has pushed ahead with second-generation reforms and has made several policy changes to achieve this objective.

The SEZ policy was first introduced in India in April 2000, as a part of the Export-Import (“EXIM”) policy of India. Considering the need to enhance foreign investment and promote exports from the country and realizing the need that level playing field must be made available to the domestic enterprises and manufacturers to be competitive globally, the Government of India in April 2000 announced the introduction of Special Economic Zones policy in the country deemed to be foreign territory for the purposes of trade operations, duties and tariffs. To provide an internationally competitive and hassle free environment for exports, units were allowed be set up in SEZ for manufacture of goods and rendering of services. All the import/export operations of the SEZ units is on self-certification basis. The units in the Zone are required to be a net foreign exchange earner but they would not be subjected to any pre-determined value addition or minimum export performance requirements. Sales in the Domestic Tariff Area by SEZ units is subject to payment of full Custom Duty and as per import policy in force. Further Offshore banking units are being allowed to be set up in the SEZs. The policy provides for setting up of SEZ's in the public, private, joint sector or by State Governments. It is also being envisaged that some of the existing Export Processing Zones would be converted into Special Economic Zones. Moreover SEZ's provide a medium wherein it not only attracts foreign companies looking for cheaper and efficient location to setup their offshore business, but it also allows the local industries to improve their export through a proper channel and with the help of the new foreign partners to the outside world at a very competitive price. SEZ's offer relaxed tax and tariff policies which is different from the other economic areas in the country. Duty free import of raw materials for production is one example. Moreover the Free trade zones attract big players who want to setup business without any license hassles and the long process involved in it. Most of the allotment is done through a single window system and which is highly transparent system. The bottom-line therefore is increased export and FDI (Foreign Direct Investments) enabling increased Public-private partnership and ultimately resulting in a development of world class infrastructure, boost economic growth, exports and employment.

The concept of SEZ's was largely pioneered by China, wherein the SEZ's contribute to 20 percent of the total FDI. Then the SEZ model was also successfully implemented in Poland and Philippines. In the former the SEZ's contribute to almost 35 percent of the FDI inflows. Shenzhen in China has been at the helm of rapid economic development, after growing by a staggering 28 percent for the last 25 years.

The SEZ's are important in today's context for the third world countries which have been in the race for rapid economic growth. There are many positives which emerge out of establishing an SEZ. Let us have a look on these factors. For undertaking any kind of massive development program the government requires huge amount of funds. So it looks out for potential partners to help the government carry out the program. Now say

for setting up an SEZ, the government may tie up with a private partner whose willing to invest in that area, thus a win-win situation for both. As in the government gets the capital needed to establish the required infrastructure and also the expertise. The private player on the other hand gets the right to market and use the SEZ's with relaxed tax laws, thereby increasing its revenue generating capacity and also carrying out the economic growth of the company in a more efficient way with the better tax policies. Actually SEZ's with relaxed import tariffs help the Import dependent and export driven industries to flourish by helping them develop manufactured goods at competitive prices. SEZ's create immense employment opportunities. The setting up of SEZ's creates lot of indirect employment in terms of labour required. Then after the completion it enables employment in the relevant industries operating in the SEZ. Then there are lots of indirect employments generated wherein people start investing around SEZ. For example SEZ's are townships of their own; thereby there are shopping malls, restaurants, amusement parks setup around to attract people, thus resulting in more economic development in that area.

Moreover SEZ's improve the country's foreign export. Because of the increased FDI and Private Equity presence, the local manufacturers get to tie up with these big names and export their products which now carry a better brand value, therefore helping in creating a greater demand for the goods of local manufacturers. Moreover the massive capital required for expansion is brought in form of FDI resulting in increased economic activity. The increased exports from the country bring in more revenue for the country which improves the economic growth. SEZ's help in creating a balanced economic growth in a country if they are properly located and implemented leading to tapping of local talent and contributing to increased economic activity in the area.

Drawbacks:

The biggest challenges faced by SEZ's in today's scenario are the taking away of agricultural land from the farmers. The farmers are being paid disproportionate money which is not in lieu of the current land prices. The best example could be seen in the case of farmers from Kalinganagar in Orissa where the money given was disproportionate to as high as 1:10 with respect to the market rates. Moreover SEZ's are leading to decrease in crop production (arable Land Grabbing!) thus slowing down of agricultural activity in the country. (Though it may help boost it in other ways by increased export of local goods, both processed and non-processed). More and more farmers are moving towards the lucrative manufacturing side in search of greater economic security. Moreover the greatest problem that seems to be emerging out is that arable land is being used for non agricultural purpose which could lead to food crisis and loss of self sustenance in future. For example: Nadigram district of West Bengal. But FDI could also help in providing our farmers to gain access to technological better farming methods.

SEZ's in China were initially exempted from national Labor Laws (despite being a communist country!). This model sustained initially because the foreign investors were given the leverage to train the workers and even fire them if incompetent. This Hire or Fire policy initially helped in sustaining foreign investors' confidence in the Chinese domestic labor competence, but in the long run such laws must be made more stringent

once the confidence is reposed so as to hedge the workers from hostile company' policies. The SEZ's if not properly located could lead to Supply Chain Management problems as well. Moreover improper planning could lead to unbalanced growth in the region giving an impression of pseudo-development. For example most of the SEZ's in China are in proximity to the ports and also close to each other, while these have been at the helm of economic development most of the interior hinterland is vastly underdeveloped. SEZ's could also lead to income disparities with divide between the rich and poor increasing if not properly planned.

SEZ's mostly if setup for the manufacturing sector should be carefully planned to carry out proper pollution monitoring and control mechanism. Stringent measures may prove to be expensive but are also extremely important. Shenzhen in china has been the worst affected among SEZ's in China where the sky is grey for most part of the day courtesy the polluting industries. The measures should be taken to make surroundings livable for multitude of people living in the SEZ's. Moreover care should be taken to properly treat effluents from industries not to affect surrounding rivers. Also the SEZ's should be carefully planned not to affect the natural habitat around (Gurgaon SEZ affecting the Bharatpur bird sanctuary)

India and SEZ:

The SEZ policy was first introduced in India in April 2000, as a part of the Export-Import ("EXIM") policy of India. Considering the need to enhance foreign investment and promote exports from the country and realizing the need that level playing field must be made available to the domestic enterprises and manufacturers to be competitive globally, the Government of India in April 2000 announced the introduction of Special Economic Zones policy in the country deemed to be foreign territory for the purposes of trade operations, duties and tariffs. To provide an internationally competitive and hassle free environment for exports, units were allowed be set up in SEZ for manufacture of goods and rendering of services. All the import/export operations of the SEZ units are on self-certification basis. The units in the Zone are required to be a net foreign exchange earner but they would not be subjected to any pre-determined value addition or minimum export performance requirements. Sales in the Domestic Tariff Area by SEZ units are subject to payment of full Custom Duty and as per import policy in force. Further Offshore banking units are being allowed to be set up in the SEZs.

India is one of the first countries in Asia to recognize the effectiveness of the Export Processing Zone (EPZ) model in promoting exports. Asia's first EPZ was set up in Kandla in 1965. With a view to create an environment for achieving rapid growth in exports, a Special Economic Zone policy was announced in the Export and Import (EXIM) Policy 2000. Under this policy, one of the main features is that the designated duty free enclave to be treated as foreign territory only for trade operations and duties and tariffs. No license required for import. The manufacturing, trading or service activities are allowed. While EPZs are industrial estates, SEZs are virtually industrial townships that provide supportive infrastructure such as housing, roads, ports and telecommunication. The scope of activities that can be undertaken in the SEZs is much wider and their linkages with the domestic economy are stronger. Resultantly they have a

diversified industrial base. Their role is not transient like the EPZs, as they are intended to be instruments of regional development as well as export promotion. As such, SEZs can have tremendous impact on exports, inflow of foreign investment and employment generation.

SEZ Act 2005: To provide a stable economic environment for the promotion of Export-import of goods in a quick, efficient and hassle-free manner, Government of India enacted the SEZ Act, which received the assent of the President of India on June 23, 2005. The SEZ Act and the SEZ Rules, 2006 ("SEZ Rules") were notified on February 10, 2006. The SEZ Act is expected to give a big thrust to exports and consequently to the foreign direct investment ("FDI") inflows into India, and is considered to be one of the finest pieces of legislation that may well represent the future of the industrial development strategy in India. The new law is aimed at encouraging public-private partnership to develop world-class infrastructure and attract private investment (domestic and foreign), boosting economic growth, exports and employment.

The SEZs Rules, inter-alia, provide for drastic simplification of procedures and for single window clearance on matters relating to central as well as state governments. Investment of the order of Rs.100,000 crores over the next 3 years with an employment potential of over 5 lakh is expected from the new SEZs apart from indirect employment during the construction period of the SEZs. Heavy investments are expected in sectors like IT, Pharma, Bio-technology, Textiles, Petro-chemicals, Auto-components, etc. The SEZ Rules provides the simplification of procedures for development, operation, and maintenance of the Special Economic Zones and for setting up and conducting business in SEZs. This includes simplified compliance procedures and documentation with an emphasis on self-certification; single window clearance for setting up of an SEZ, setting up a unit in SEZs and clearance on matters relating to Central as well as State Governments; no requirement for providing bank guarantees; contract manufacturing for foreign principals with option to obtain sub-contracting permission at the initial approval stage; and Import-Export of all items through personal baggage.

With a view to augmenting infrastructure facilities for export production it has been decided to permit the setting up of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the public, private, joint sector or by the State Governments. The minimum size of the Special Economic Zone shall not be less than 1000 hectares. Minimum area requirement shall, however, not be applicable to product specific and port/airport based SEZ. This measure is expected to promote self-contained areas supported by world-class infrastructure oriented towards export production. Any private/public/joint sector or State Government or its agencies can set up Special Economic Zone (SEZ).

ADMINISTRATIVE SET UP FOR SEZs: SEZs is governed by a three tier administrative set up

a) The Board of Approval is the apex body in the Department,

b) The Unit Approval Committee at the Zonal level dealing with approval of units in the SEZs and other related issues, and

c) Each Zone is headed by a Development Commissioner, who also heads the Unit Approval Committee.

APPROVAL MECHANISM OF SEZs: Any proposal for setting up of SEZ in the Private/Joint/State Sector is routed through the concerned State government who in turn forwards the same to the Department of Commerce with its recommendations for consideration of the Board of Approval. On the other hand, any proposals for setting up of units in the SEZ are approved at the Zonal level by the Approval Committee consisting of Development Commissioner, Customs Authorities and representatives of State Government.

How SEZ's should be modelled to Benefit India:

Size Does Matter: I was reading an article and found out the following fact, China's SEZs are huge. Shenzhen, the most important SEZ, covers 32,000 hectares. In India, there are just two or three privately developed SEZ, exceeding 1,000 hectares. Most of the others approved are less than 100 hectares. But it is heartening to realize that the government has decided to up the ante and have made guidelines to have a minimum of 1000 hectares of area for approving an SEZ. It hardly needs reiteration that only a large sized zone can generate economic activity on some reasonable scale. In a small zone, the requisite infrastructure and services cannot be provided nor can multiple economic activities be promoted.

TAX Benefits: The incentive package in India is quite liberal and may even be a shade better than that for Chinese SEZs. In fact, it is more or less on a par with the package for the existing EPZs. Duty free import of capital goods and raw materials, reimbursements of Central Sales Tax, tax holiday for specified period, 100 per cent repatriation of profits for subcontracting facilities are allowed. The Government has done well by extending incentives for the infrastructure sector to zone developers and the units as well. This can attract foreign direct investment for providing internationally competitive infrastructure.

Labor Laws: We can learn from china where initially labor laws were relaxed so that the companies could adopt Hire and Fire policy, once the Private and foreign players gained confidence in the Chinese workers' productivity, this was replaced by the Contract system. India should take cue from this and understand that the import-export business is highly dependent on uncertain international market conditions, rejection of consignments etc. hence a flexible labor policy is the need of hour in the SEZ's.

Domestic Tariff Areas: We got to understand that the reason for the Foreign investors to invest in Industrial, Manufacturing sector in India is not only to cut down on their costs because of cheaper and competitive products but they also see the vast Indian consumer markets, which has seen great income rise and standard of living. So apart from exports itself, the domestic market itself provides immense opportunity for sale of products. The companies in SEZ being levied a full import duty on sale in domestic areas does not seem

a bright idea. In this case SEZ's will only promote export driven industries which are highly dependent on import of raw materials. To further make use of full potential of SEZ's Industries which are capable of indigenous generation of raw materials should be provided with tax holidays in terms of benefits to facilitate competitive pricing in the domestic tariff areas.

Thinking about the Future and Possible Fallacies: As evidence over the years has shown, this single-minded pursuit of growth has lowered the efficiency and effectiveness of economic policies, besides incurring huge resource and environmental costs. The Chinese experience offers a valuable lesson for India. Neither the international nor the Indian experience with SEZs has been particularly happy. Globally, only a handful of SEZs, of the hundreds that exist, have generated substantial exports, along with significant domestic spin-offs in demand or technology upgradation. For each successful Shannon (Ireland) or Shenzhen (China), there are 10 failures - in the Philippines, Malaysia, Brazil, Mexico, Colombia, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, why, even India. A 1998 report by the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) on export processing zones (EPZs) says: "Customs duty amounting to Rs. 7,500 crores was forgone for achieving net foreign exchange earnings of Rs.4,700 crores.

The Reserve Bank of India says that large tax incentives can be justified only if SEZ units establish strong "backward and forward linkages with the domestic economy" which is a doubtful proposition. Even the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) Chief Economist Raghuram Rajan has warned: "Not only will [the SEZs] make the government forgo revenue it can ill afford to lose, they also offer firms an incentive to shift existing production to the new zones at substantial cost to society."

As much as 75 per cent of the SEZ area can be used for non-core activities, including development of residential or commercial properties, shopping malls and hospitals. Developers will surely use this to make money via the real estate route rather through export promotion. This represents a potentially humongous urban property racket of incalculable dimensions. India will see a multiplication of "Gurgaon-style" development, under the aegis of big builders such as DLF, Marathon, Rahejas, Unitech, City Parks and Dewan.

Conclusion:

The SEZ's could drastically improve the economic activity in the country, make the country's export competitive and globally noticeable, be net foreign exchange earner and provide immense employment opportunity. But this should not be done at the cost of bringing down the agricultural activities, Land grabbing and real estate mafia should be properly regulated so that the common man is not the net sufferer to get the net foreign exchange earner up and running. As compared to china where majority of the SEZ's were setup by the government, similar should be adopted in India, if not fully it should be a public-private partnership and regulatory bodies should be properly managed to weed out fallacies. To be economically viable SEZ's should be approved over a particular land area (greater than 1000 acres) for rapid economic growth in the area and for it to be profitable and self sustainable. Relaxed Tax norms, Labor laws and DTA regulations will surely

attract foreign investment and major industries to setup industries in the SEZ's making it profitable and meeting its desired results!

References

Abeywardene, J., R, et al (1994). 'Export processing zones in Sri Lanka: Economic impact and social issues', Multinational Enterprises Programme No.69, ILO, Geneva.

Aggarwal A. (2002) Liberalisation, Multinational Enterprises and Export Performance: Evidence from Indian Manufacturing (2002), Journal of Development Studies, Vol 38, No. 2, 119-137. Routledge.

Aggarwal, A., (2006). 'Performance of Export Processing Zones: A Comparative Analysis of India, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh', Journal of Instafalg Institute, World SEZ Association, Arizona, USA, 30(1).

Amirahmadi, Hooshang, and Weiping Wu, (1995). 'Export Processing Zones in Asia.' Asian Survey, 35(9):828-49.

Armas, E.B., and M. Sadni Jallab (2002). 'A Review of the Role and Impact of Export Processing Zones in World Trade: The Case of Mexico', Working Paper 02-07, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Athukorala, P., (1997). 'Foreign Direct Investment and Manufacturing for Exports', in W.D.Laxman (ed.), Dilemmas of Development Fifty years of Economic Change in Sri Lanka, pp.386-422.Colombo.

Basile, A., and D. Germidis (1984). Investing in Export Processing Zones. OECD, Paris.
Bastian S. (1984) Foreign Investment in Sri Lanka: Politics and Economics, Colombo, Centre for Society and Region Publication.

Bhattacharya, D., (1998). 'Export Processing Zones in Bangladesh: Economic Impact and Social Issues', Working Paper No. 80. ILO, Geneva, <http://www.ilo.org>.

Black, Sandra E., and Lisa M. Lynch. (2003). 'The New Economy and the Organization of Work', in Derek Jones (ed.), The Handbook of the New Economy, Academic Press, New York.

Boning, Brent, Casey Ichniowski, and Kathryn Shaw. (2001). 'Opportunity Counts: Teams and the Effectiveness of Production Incentives', NBER Working Paper 8306 (May).

Briggs, B., and C. Kernaghan (1994). 'The US Economic Agenda: A Sweatshop Model of Development', NACLA Report on the Americas, XVII (4), Jan/Feb.

Chaudhuri, M.D (1984) “The role of free trade zones in the creation of employment and industrial growth in Malaysia”, Bangkok, ILO-ARTEP in E. Lee (ed.) Export Processing Zones and Industrial Employment in Asia, (Bangkok, ILO and Asian Employment programme, 1984.

Curimjee, A., (1990). ‘Linkages in Mauritius’ in R. L. Bolin (ed.) Linking the Export Processing Zone to Local industry, The Flagstaff Institute, USA.

Dewan, R., (2001). ‘Ethics of Employment and Exports: Women in Export Processing Units in India’, in A.S. Oberoi, A. Sivananthiran and C.S.Venkata Ratnam, et al. (ed.) Labour Issues in Export Processing Zones : Role of Social Dialogue, South Asian Multidisciplinary Team and Indian Industrial Relations Association, ILO, New Delhi.

Forastieri, Valentina, (1999). ‘Improvement of working conditions and environment in the informal sector through safety and health measures’, Mimeo. ILO, Geneva.

Gereffi, Gary, J.Humphrey, and T. Sturgeon (2005). “The Governance of Global Value Chains.” Review of International Political Economy 12, 1: 78-104.

Hayter, S., (2001). ‘FDI and Labour Standards’ presented at the OECD Global Forum for Trade on ‘Trade Policy Issues: The Labour, Environmental and Competition Dimensions’, OECD, Paris, 8-9 March.

Heyzer, N. (1988). Eds. Daughters in Industry: Work, Skills and Consciousness of Women Workers in Asia. Kuala Lumpur: Asian and Pacific Development Centre.

Healey, D., and Lutkenhorst, W. (1989). ‘Export processing zones: the case of the Republic of Korea’, Industry and Development, 26: 1–56.

Jahan, S., (2003). ‘Reorienting development: Towards an Engendered Employment Strategy’, paper presented at the Gender Poverty Summit organized by the NCAER and Women’s Political Watch in New Delhi on 9–11 November 2003.

Jauch, H., (2002). ‘Export Processing Zones and the Quest for Sustainable Development: A Southern African Perspective’, Environment and Urbanization, 14(1): 101-109, April.

Jenkins, M., O. Esquivel, and Felipe Larrain B. (1998). ‘Export Processing Zones in Central America’, Development Discussion Paper no. 646, Harvard Institute for International Development, Harvard University, MA, August.

Joekes, S. and A. Weston (1995) (eds.) Women and the new Trade Agenda, UNIFEM, New York.

Johansson, Helena, (1994). ‘The Economics of Export Processing Zones Revisited’, Development Policy Review, 12:387–402.

- Johansson H. and L. Nilsson (1997). 'Export Processing Zones as Catalysts', *World Development*, 25(12):2115–128.
- Kaplinsky, R., (2001). 'Is Globalisation All its Cracked up to be', *Review of International Political Economy*, 8(1):45–65.
- Kemal, A.R., (2001). 'Freedom of Association and Promotion of Social Dialogue in SEZs in Pakistan', in A.S. Oberoi, A. Sivananthiran and C.S.Venkata Ratnam, et. al. (ed.) *Labour Issues in Export Processing Zones : Role of Social Dialogue* , South Asian Multidisciplinary Team and Indian Industrial Relations Association, ILO, New Delhi..
- Kumar, N., and A. Aggarwal (2000). 'National Innovation System and Corporate R&D Activity in India: Some Explorations into the Effectiveness of Promotional Policies', Mimeo, United Nations University, Netherlands.
- Kumar, N., and A. Aggarwal (2005). 'Liberalisation, Outward orientation and R&D behaviour of local firms and MNE affiliates: A Quantitative Exploration', *Research Policy*, 34(2005):441–60. Elsevier Science, North Holland.
- Kusago, T., and Z. Tzannatos (1998). 'Export Processing Zones: A Review in Need of Update', *World Bank Discussion Paper No. 9802*, World Bank, Washington, DC, January.
- Lan, P., and S. Young (1996). 'Foreign Direct Investment and Technology Transfer : A Case Study of Foreign Direct Investment in North East China', *Transnational Corporations*, 5(1):57–83.
- Lemoine, F., and D. Unual-Kesenci (2004). 'Assembly Trade and Technology Transfer: The Case of China', *World Development*, 32: 829–50.
- Madani, D., (1999). 'A Review of the Role and Impact of Export Processing Zones', *World Bank Policy Research Paper no. 2238*, World Bank, Washington DC.
- Majumdar, I., (2001). 'SEZs and Their Workers in India: A Study of the Noida Processing Zone', in A.S. Oberoi, A. Sivananthiran and C.S.Venkata Ratnam, et al. (ed.) *Labour Issues in Export Processing Zones : Role of Social Dialogue*, South Asian Multidisciplinary Team and Indian Industrial Relations Association, ILO, New Delhi.
- Maskus, K.E., (1997). 'Should Core labour standards be Imposed Through International Trade policy?', *World Bank Policy Research Working paper 1817*, World Bank, Washington DC.
- Mondal, A.H., (2003). 'Role of the Export Processing Zones in the Industrialization Process of Bangladesh: Lessons for the Future', in Rushidan Islam Rahman (ed.), *Performance of the Bangladesh Economy: Selected Issues*, Bangladesh Institute of

Development Studies, Dhaka, 93–122.

PRIA (2000). 'Export Processing Zones in India and the Status of Labour', available at <http://www.pria.org>.

Raafat, F., et. al. (1992). 'Training and Technology Transfer: Efforts of Japanese, Mexican and American Maquiladora Companies in Mexico', *Socio-Economic Planning Science*, 26(3):181–190.

Rasiah, R., (1996). 'Changing organization of work in the electronics industry in Malaysia', *AsiaPacific Viewpoint*, 37(1), 21-38

Razafindrakoto, M., & Roubaud, F. (1997). Les entreprises franches a` Madagascar: e`conomie d'enclave ou promesse d'une nouvelle prosperte'? *Economie de Madagascar*, 2, BCM/INSTAT, Madagascar, 217– 248.

Remedio, E. M., (1996). 'Export processing zones in the Philippines: A review of Employment, Working Conditions and Labour Relations'. *Multinational Enterprises Programme No.77*, ILO, Geneva.

Rhee, Y.W., K. Katterbach, and J.White (1990). 'Free Trade Zones in Export Strategies', *Industry Services Paper 36*, World Bank, Washington, D.C.

Rolfé, R., W. Douglas, and K. Bernard Kagira (2004). 'Footloose and Tax Free: Incentive Preferences in Kenyan Export Processing Zones', *South African Journal of Economics*, 72(4): 784-807, September.

, Gregory K., and Jorge F. Perez-Lopez (eds.), (1995). *Work Without Protections: Case Studies of the Informal Sector in Developing Countries*, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Washington, D.C..

Sivalingam, G. 1994. The economic and social impact of export processing zones: The case of Malaysia. *Multinational Enterprises Programme No.66*, ILO, Geneva.

Smith C. and N. Pun (2006) The dormitory labour regime in China as a site for control and resistance, *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 17 (8)1456–1470.

Voice of Women (1983), *Women Workers in the Free Trade Zones of Sri Lanka : A Survey*, Voice of Women Publication, Colombo.

Watson,P. (2001) *Export Processing Zones:Has Africa Missed the Boat? Not yet!* World Bank Africa Region Working paper Series No. 17. Washington DC.

Willmore, Larry, (1995). 'Export Processing Zones in the Dominican Republic: A Comment on Kaplinsky', *World Development*, 23(3):529–35.